

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/729,005	12/08/2003	Toshiyasu Shirasuna	03500.015546.1	9109	
5514 7590 10/10/2007 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO				EXAMINER CROWELL, ANNA M	
30 ROCKEFE NEW YORK,				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/729,005	SHIRASUNA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michelle Crowell	1792		

	Michelle Crowell	1792					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI	THE REPLY FILED 28 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	will <u>not</u> be entered be TE below);	ecause				
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 	., .	. , ,	he issues for				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	,	mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>28 and 29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·	·					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:	•	Ran					
ane		RAM N. KACKAR, PRIMARY EXAMI	P.E. NER				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/729,005

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant's newly added limitations of (1) each movable reactor having an impedance different from that of the other movable reactors due to a different shape of the cylindrical substrate set in each movable reactor and (2) one impedance matching circuit is provided to each of the plurality of the different movable reactors requires further consideration and/or search...